ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy to prevent gastric cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis

Alexander Charles Ford ![ORCID] ,[1,2] Yuhong Yuan,[3] Paul Moayyedi[3]

ABSTRACT

Objectives Gastric cancer is strongly associated with *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*). We conducted a previous systematic review and meta-analysis that suggested eradication therapy reduced future incidence of gastric cancer, but effect size was uncertain, and there was no reduction in gastric cancer-related mortality. We updated this meta-analysis, as more data has accumulated. We also evaluated impact of eradication therapy on future risk of gastric cancer in patients having endoscopic mucosal resection for gastric neoplasia.

Design We searched the medical literature through February 2020 to identify randomised controlled trials (RCTs) examining effect of eradication therapy on subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer in healthy *H. pylori*-positive adults, and in *H. pylori*-positive patients with gastric neoplasia undergoing endoscopic mucosal resection. The control arm received placebo or no treatment. Follow-up was for ≥2 years. We estimated the relative risk (RR) number needed to treat (NNT), and evaluated the disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) gained from screening from the meta-analysis.

Results We identified 10 RCTs, seven recruited 8323 healthy individuals, and three randomised 1841 patients with gastric neoplasia. In healthy individuals, eradication therapy reduced incidence of gastric cancer (RR=0.54; 95% CI 0.40 to 0.72, NNT=72), and reduced mortality from gastric cancer (RR=0.61; 95% CI 0.40 to 0.92, NNT=135), but did not affect all-cause mortality. These data suggest that 8 743 815 DALYs (95% CI 5 646 173 to 11 847 456) would be gained if population screening and treatment was implemented globally. In patients with gastric neoplasia, eradication therapy also reduced incidence of future gastric cancer (RR=0.49; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.70, NNT=21). Adverse events were incompletely reported.

Conclusion There is moderate evidence to suggest that *H. pylori* eradication therapy reduces the incidence of gastric cancer in healthy individuals and patients with gastric neoplasia in East Asian countries. There also appears to be a reduction in gastric cancer-related mortality.

Significance of this study

What is already known on this subject?
► Gastric cancer is the third most common cause of cancer death worldwide and is causally related to *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*).
► A previous systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated that eradicating *H. pylori* in healthy infected individuals reduced the incidence of gastric cancer, but the efficacy was relatively modest, and there did not appear to be any effect on mortality.

What are the new findings?
► In terms of trials conducted among healthy individuals, we identified one new trial, containing 1826 individuals, and one existing trial completed follow-up out to 22 years.
► The number needed to treat (NNT) to prevent one gastric cancer decreased, from 124 overall in our previous meta-analysis, to 72.
► The effect was even stronger in individuals with gastric neoplasia; the NNT with eradication therapy to prevent one future gastric cancer was only 21.
► In addition, eradication therapy was associated with a significant reduction in gastric cancer-related mortality in healthy individuals; the NNT to prevent one gastric cancer-related death was 135.
► Over 8.7 million disability-adjusted life-years would be gained by instituting *H. pylori* screening and treatment globally.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?
► This meta-analysis provides contemporaneous estimates of the impact of eradication of *H. pylori* on both incidence of, and mortality from, gastric cancer, which can be used to inform future public health decisions.

INTRODUCTION

Despite a declining incidence in the developing world,[1] gastric cancer is the third most common cause of cancer death worldwide.[2] In 2017 there were over 850 000 deaths attributable to gastric cancer, and it is estimated that 1 in 33 men, and 1 in 78 women will develop the disease during their lifetime.[2] Global mortality from gastric cancer is likely to further increase due to improving life expectancy in developing countries, and recent observations of an increased risk in younger generations.[1]

Helicobacter pylori (*H. pylori*) colonises the stomach of approximately 50% of the world's population.[3] Infection with the bacterium causes chronic gastritis.[4] In a minority of susceptible individuals,[5] this can lead to a stepwise progression through gastric atrophy, intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia, to the development of carcinoma.[6] As a

BMJ

1

bsg

result, *H. pylori* is classified as a human carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).[7] Eradication of the infection in populations at high risk of gastric cancer could therefore lead to a reduction in incidence of, and mortality from, gastric cancer,[8] and in 2014 an IARC working group report recommended that countries explore the possibility of introducing population-based *H. pylori* screening and treatment programmes.[9] Gastric cancer is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide; it is estimated that over 19 million disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) are currently lost due to the disease.[10]

Despite this, most countries at high risk of gastric cancer have not considered this policy seriously, although in 2013 Japan approved reimbursement of the use of eradication therapy as a treatment for *H. pylori*-induced gastritis,[11] and in China a trial of population screening and treatment, recruiting over 180 000 individuals, is ongoing.[12] However, there is already some evidence from both observational and controlled studies that eradication of *H. pylori* reduces future incidence of gastric cancer. In 2004 Matsu Islands, a region of Taiwan, with an annual death rate for gastric cancer three-fold that of the rest of the country, introduced a population-based eradication programme for *H. pylori*. During the 4 years prior to the screening programme commencing, the incidence of gastric cancer was 40.3 per 100 000 person-years, compared with 30.4 for the years 2004 to 2008; a 25% reduction in incidence.[13] A previous Cochrane Collaboration systematic review and meta-analysis of six randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of eradication therapy, compared with placebo or no treatment, demonstrated a 34% reduction in the relative risk (RR) of an incident gastric cancer, and a number needed to treat (NNT) of 124.[14 15]

This effect is relatively modest, based on the NNT, and there was no significant impact of eradication therapy on mortality from gastric cancer.[14 15] The impact may be more dramatic in higher risk groups, such as those with gastric neoplasia, including dysplasia or early gastric cancer. Given that it is 6 years since the publication of this meta-analysis, the possibility that there may now be more published trials, as well as longer duration of follow-up in the existing trials, led us to re-examine this issue. In addition, we evaluated how population screening and treatment may impact on DALYs in the population. Our hypothesis was that as a result of longer follow-up in existing trials, as well as studies published subsequent to our previous systematic review, the effect of eradication therapy, in terms of reducing future gastric cancer incidence, would become stronger, and that there may now be a significant effect on gastric cancer-related mortality, which may translate into a significant gain in DALYs. We also aimed to study the effect of eradication of *H. pylori* on the incidence of future gastric cancer in patients with gastric neoplasia.

METHODS
Search strategy and study selection
We updated our previous systematic review and meta-analysis examining this issue.[14 15] We searched MEDLINE (1947 to February 2020), Embase and Embase Classic (1947 to February 2020) and the Cochrane central register of controlled trials to identify potential studies. In addition, we searched ClinicalTrials.gov for unpublished trials, or supplementary data for potentially eligible studies. In order to identify studies published only in abstract form, we handsearched conference proceedings (Digestive Diseases Week, American College of Gastroenterology, United European Gastroenterology Week and the Asian

Pacific Digestive Week) between 2001 and 2019. We contacted authors of trial reports published only as abstracts and asked them to contribute full data sets or completed papers. Finally, we performed a recursive search, using the bibliographies of all obtained articles.

Eligible RCTs examined the effect of at least 7 days of eradication therapy on subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer in *H. pylori*-positive adult subjects (≥18 years) who were otherwise healthy (online supplementary table 1), or in *H. pylori*-positive adult subjects with gastric neoplasia, including dysplasia or early gastric cancer, undergoing endoscopic mucosal resection. A minimum follow-up duration of 2 years was required, and at least two gastric cancers had to occur during follow-up. We extracted all endpoints at the last point of follow-up that they were reported, using the most contemporaneous publication from each trial.

Two investigators (YY and ACF) conducted the literature search, independently from each other. The search terms used are detailed in the online supplementary materials. Two investigators (YY and ACF) evaluated all abstracts identified by the search for eligibility, again independently from each other. We obtained all potentially relevant papers and evaluated them in more detail, using pre-designed forms, in order to assess eligibility independently, according to the pre-defined criteria. We resolved disagreements between investigators by discussion. There were no language restrictions. We translated foreign language papers, where required.

For fully published studies that did not report data concerning subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer, we contacted the first or senior author in order to maximise our chances of identifying eligible studies. We also contacted authors of all eligible studies that did report subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer, in order to obtain data at the most recent point of follow-up. Finally, where multiple articles for a single study were found, we contacted the first or senior author in order to ensure that only data from the latest publication from each eligible study were extracted.

Outcome assessment
Our primary outcome was the effect of *H. pylori* eradication therapy, compared with placebo or no treatment, on the subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer. Secondary outcomes included the effect of eradication therapy on gastric cancer-related mortality, effect on all-cause mortality and adverse events arising from eradication therapy.

Data extraction
We extracted all data independently. This was done by two investigators (YY and ACF) as dichotomous outcomes (presence or absence of gastric cancer). In addition, we extracted the following data for each trial: geographical location, country of origin, number of centres, method used to confirm *H. pylori* infection, type of *H. pylori* eradication regimen used (including dose and schedule of individual drugs within it), duration of treatment, eradication rate, duration of follow-up, mortality from gastric cancer, mortality from other causes and total number of adverse events reported.

We extracted data using a modified intention-to-treat analysis. In this, we excluded from the analysis individuals found to be ineligible after randomisation (eg, healthy individuals in whom a gastric cancer was detected at baseline, patients with gastric neoplasia who underwent surgery rather than endoscopic mucosal resection or any trial participants who were found to be

H. pylori-negative), and those who did not receive the intervention to which they were assigned and, due to the relatively rare nature of the outcome of interest, we assumed that all subjects lost to follow-up had not developed gastric cancer, but kept them in the denominator for the study. This was particularly important, given that the shortest duration of follow-up in the studies we identified was ≥3 years, and therefore drop-out rates were relatively high. We also performed a complete case analysis, as a sensitivity analysis, where all participants for whom data were missing or unavailable were excluded from the analysis altogether.[16]

Quality assessment and risk of bias

We performed this at the study level. Risk of bias was assessed by two investigators independently (YY and ACF), using the Cochrane risk of bias tool.[17] We resolved disagreements by discussion. We recorded the method used to generate the randomisation schedule and conceal treatment allocation, as well as whether blinding was implemented for participants, personnel and outcomes assessment, whether there was evidence of incomplete outcomes data and whether there was evidence of selective reporting of outcomes.

Data synthesis and statistical analysis

We pooled data using a random effects model,[18] to give a more conservative estimate of the effect of *H. pylori* eradication therapy on the subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer, allowing for any heterogeneity between studies. We expressed the impact of eradication therapy, compared with placebo or no treatment, as a RR of occurrence of gastric cancer with 95% CIs. We planned to summarise adverse events data with RRs. We calculated the NNT, with a 95% CI, using the formula NNT=1/ (assumed control risk (ACR) x (1 − RR)).

We also modelled the impact that *H. pylori* screening and treatment would have on DALYs, using estimates of DALYs lost due to gastric cancer in various populations,[10] using previously described data sources and methodology.[2] DALYs gained were estimated by applying the RR with 95% CIs derived from the meta-analysis to DALYs lost due to gastric cancer (with 95% CIs),[2] using Monte Carlo simulation with 10 000 runs. Both DALYs and RR were assumed to follow a normal distribution and, in these analyses, we assumed that any benefit of eradication therapy persisted beyond the duration of follow-up of RCTs.

We assessed heterogeneity between studies using both the I^2 statistic with a cut-off of ≥50%, and the χ^2 test with a p value <0.10, to define a significant degree of heterogeneity.[19] We used Review Manager V.5.3.5 (RevMan for Windows 2014, the Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) to generate Forest plots of pooled RRs for primary and secondary outcomes with 95% CIs, as well as funnel plots. We assessed the latter for evidence of asymmetry, and therefore possible publication bias or other small study effects, using the Egger test,[20] if there were ≥10 eligible studies included in the meta-analysis, in line with previous recommendations.[21] We used Excel (Microsoft Excel for Mac, V.16.33) to conduct the Monte Carlo simulations.

RESULTS

The search strategy identified 2115 citations. We reviewed the titles and abstracts, and retrieved and evaluated 37 articles that appeared potentially eligible for inclusion (online supplementary figure 1). Of these, 10 articles,[22–31] reporting relevant data from seven separate RCTs, compared *H. pylori* eradication therapy with placebo or no treatment in 8323 healthy individuals, and provided data on subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer. All these trials recruited healthy people from the community, with the exception of one Korean RCT, which recruited healthy first-degree relatives of gastric cancer patients.[29] Another three trials compared *H. pylori* eradication therapy with placebo or no treatment in 1841 patients with gastric neoplasia undergoing endoscopic mucosal resection, and provided data on the occurrence of future gastric cancer.[32–34]

Characteristics of eligible and included studies are provided in tables 1 and 2. Three of the trials, reported in five separate publications, were of factorial design with some participants also randomised to receive vitamins, antioxidants or celecoxib.[22 23 26–28] All trials were conducted in East Asia, except one study that was conducted among a population at high risk of gastric cancer in Colombia.[22 23] The longest duration of follow-up in the studies we identified was 22 years,[27] and the shortest duration was ≥3 years.[32] Risk of bias assessment of all included RCTs is provided in online supplementary table 2. We classed four trials as being at low risk of bias,[25–29] two trials were at unclear risk[24 34] and four trials were at high risk of bias.[22 23 30–33] Three were high risk of bias due to the fact that no placebo comparator was used for the active eradication therapy regimen and therefore this part of the trial was unblinded.[22 23 32 33] The other was considered high risk due to inconsistencies in data reporting at various points of follow-up.[30 31]

Effect of *H. pylori* eradication therapy in healthy individuals

When we pooled data from the seven RCTs at the last point of follow-up in our primary analysis,[22–31] there were 68 (1.6%) gastric cancers occurring among 4206 healthy infected subjects who received *H. pylori* eradication therapy, compared with 125 (3.0%) in 4117 individuals allocated to placebo or no treatment. There was only one case of gastric MALT (mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue) lymphoma reported in the six studies,[24] but this was not included in the analyses. The RR of subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer with eradication therapy versus placebo or no treatment was 0.54 (95% CI 0.40 to 0.72) (figure 1), with no heterogeneity between studies (I^2=0%, p=0.61). We could not assess for funnel plot asymmetry, as there were insufficient RCTs. The NNT, using data from the meta-analysis with an ACR of 3.0%, was 72 (95% CI 56 to 119).

We modelled these data to estimate the impact of population screening and treatment of *H. pylori* on DALYs gained. We estimated that this programme would result in a gain of over 8.8 million DALYs (95% CI 5.7 to 11.9) globally (table 3); 5.65 million (95% CI 3.7 to 7.6 million) DALYs were gained in men and 3.2 million (95% CI 2.0 to 4.3 million) DALYs were gained in women. The impact was highest in East Asia (3.75 million DALYs gained) and lowest in Australasia (18 000 DALYs gained) (table 3).

We conducted a sensitivity analysis pooling data from these seven trials using a complete case analysis. There were 67 (1.7%) gastric cancers among 3894 people assigned to eradication therapy, compared with 125 (3.3%) among 3804 people allocated to placebo or no treatment (RR=0.53; 95% CI 0.40 to 0.71), with no heterogeneity between studies (I^2=0%, p=0.61). The NNT was 64 (95% CI 51 to 104). We also assessed the impact of excluding the trial with the longest duration of follow-up and the most events of interest, which contributed 63.6% weight to the analysis.[27 28] There was still a significant effect of eradication therapy, compared with placebo or no treatment, in preventing subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer (RR=0.56; 95% CI

Table 1 Characteristics of randomised controlled trials of *H. pylori* eradication therapy versus placebo or no treatment in the prevention of gastric cancer in healthy individuals

Study	Location	Method used to confirm presence of *H. pylori*	Sample size (no. receiving *H. pylori* eradication therapy)	Characteristics of participants	Proportion with pre-neoplastic lesions* at baseline	*H. pylori* eradication therapy regimen used	Eradication rate†	Last point of follow-up	Method of ascertainment of gastric cancer cases
Correa 2000 and Correa 2001 [22,23]	Two communities in Nariño Province, Colombia.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	852 (437)	Mean age 51.1 years (range 29–69 years), 46.1% male.	100%	Bismuth subsalicylate 262 mg, amoxicillin 500 mg and metronidazole 375 mg t.i.d.‡ for 2 weeks.	58.0%	6 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at 6 years.
Leung 2004 and Zhou 2014 [30,31]	Eleven villages in Yantai County, Shandong Province, China.	Histological examination of, and rapid urease testing using, gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	587 (295)[31] 552 (276) Zhou 2014[30]	Mean age 52.0 years (range 35–75 years), 47.8% male.	33.7%	Omeprazole 20 mg, amoxicillin 1 g and clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d.§ for 1 week.	55.6%	5 years in Leung, 10 years in Zhou.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at 2, 5, 8 and 10 years.
Wong 2004 [25]	Seven villages in Changle County, Fujian Province, China.	Histological examination of, and rapid urease testing using, gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	1630 (817)	Mean age 42.2 years (range 35–65 years), 54.0% male.	37.7%	Omeprazole 20 mg, co-amoxiclav 750 mg and metronidazole 400 mg b.i.d. for 2 weeks.	83.7%	7.5 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at 7.5 years or, if diagnosed before 7.5 years, review of clinical records and pathology specimens by three blinded clinicians.
Saito 2005 [24]	145 centres in Japan.	Not reported.	692 (379)	Mean age not reported (range 20–59 years), proportion male not reported.	Not reported.	Lansoprazole 30 mg, amoxicillin 1.5 g and clarithromycin 400 mg o.d.¶ for 1 week.	74.4%	≥4 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at ≥4 years.
Ma 2012 and Li 2019 [27,28]	Thirteen villages in Linqu County, Shandong Province, China.	Serological testing.	2258 (1130)	Mean age 46.8 years (range 35–64 years), 50.0% male.	64.0%	Omeprazole 20 mg and amoxicillin 1 g b.i.d. for 2 weeks.	73.2%	22.3 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, or from clinical, laboratory or pathological data.
Wong 2012 [26]	Twelve villages in Linqu County, Shandong Province, China.	Carbon-urea breath testing.	513 (255)	Mean age 53.0 years (range 35–64 years), 46.4% male.	100%	Omeprazole 20 mg, amoxicillin 1 g and clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d. for 1 week.	63.5%	5 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at 5 years.
Choi 2020 [29]	One hospital in Goyang, South Korea.	Histological examination of, and rapid urease testing using, gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	1826 (912)	Mean age 48.8 years (range 40–65 years), 49.5% male.	Not reported.	Lansoprazole 30 mg, amoxicillin 1 g and clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d. for 1 week	60.4%	9.2 years.	Access to the Korean National Cancer Incidence Database to confirm all cases of gastric cancer diagnosed by endoscopic surveillance during the trial.

*Defined as gastric atrophy, intestinal metaplasia or dysplasia.
†True intention-to-treat analysis, with all drop-outs assumed to have failed eradication therapy.
‡t.i.d, three times per day.
§b.i.d, two times per day.
¶o.d, one time per day.
H. pylori, *Helicobacter pylori*.

Table 2 Characteristics of randomised controlled trials of *H. pylori* eradication therapy versus placebo or no treatment in the prevention of future gastric cancer in individuals with gastric neoplasia undergoing endoscopic mucosal resection

Study	Location	Method used to confirm presence of *H. pylori*	Sample size (no. receiving *H. pylori* eradication therapy)	Characteristics of participants	*H. pylori* eradication therapy regimen used	Eradication rate*	Last point of follow-up	Method of ascertainment of gastric cancer cases
Fukase 2008 [32]	Fifty-one hospitals in Japan	Histological examination of, and rapid urease testing using, gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	544 (272)	Median age 68.5 years (range 20–79 years), 76.4% male.	Lansoprazole 30 mg, amoxicillin 750 mg and clarithromycin 200 mg b.i.d. for 1 week.	74.9%	3 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at ≥3 years.
Choi 2018a [33]	One hospital in Seoul, South Korea.	Histological examination of, and rapid urease testing using, gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	901 (444)	Mean age 60.4 years (range 20–75 years), 67.7% male.	Omeprazole 20 mg, amoxicillin 1 g and clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d. for 1 week.	81.3%	6 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.
Choi 2018b [34]	One hospital in Goyang, South Korea.	Histological examination of, and rapid urease testing using, gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy.	396 (194)	Mean age 59.8 years (range 18–75 years), 75.3% male.	Rabeprazole 10 mg, amoxicillin 1 g, and clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d. for 1 week.	80.4%	5.9 years.	Histological examination of gastric biopsies obtained at upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at 3 months and 3 years.

*True intention-to-treat analysis, with all drop-outs assumed to have failed eradication therapy.

b.i.d., two times per day; *H. pylori*, *Helicobacter pylori*.

0.35 to 0.92), with no heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=0\%$, p=0.49), and a NNT of 144 (95% CI 98 to 795).

There were four studies, containing 6301 subjects, which provided data on mortality from gastric cancer.[25 27 29 31] Follow-up in these three trials ranged from 5 years to 22.3 years. Overall, there were 36 deaths (1.1%) from gastric cancer among 3154 healthy infected individuals randomised to eradication therapy, compared with 59 (1.9%) deaths in 3147 participants allocated to placebo. The RR of death from gastric cancer with eradication therapy compared with placebo was 0.61 (95% CI 0.40 to 0.92) (figure 2), with no heterogeneity between studies

($I^2=0\%$, p=0.95). The NNT, using an ACR of 1.9%, was 135 (95% CI 88 to 658).

There were five studies that reported all-cause mortality among 7079 recruited individuals according to treatment allocation.[22 25 26 28 29] Follow-up in these five RCTs ranged from 6 years to 14.7 years. In total, 315 (8.9%) of 3551 healthy infected subjects receiving eradication therapy were dead at last point of follow-up, compared with 323 (9.2%) of 3528 individuals receiving placebo or no treatment. The RR of death from any cause at last point of follow-up with eradication therapy compared with placebo or no treatment was 0.97 (95% CI


Figure 1 Forest plot of randomised controlled trials of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy: Effect on subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer (modified intention-to-treat analysis). Hp, *Helicobacter pylori*.

Table 3 Estimate of disability-adjusted life-years gained by *Helicobacter pylori* screening and treatment in different populations*

Population	Mean DALYs gained	95% CI
Global	8 814 649	5 742 067 to 11 887 230
Men	5 650 560	3 672 479 to 7 628 640
Women	3 165 033	2 049 244 to 4 280 822
East Asia	3 754 826	2 459 850 to 5 049 802
South Asia	1 211 289	786 289 to 1 636 290
North Africa and the Middle East	395 681	254 071 to 537 291
Eastern Europe	468 015	304 225 to 631 804
Western Europe	470 721	303 803 to 637 640
High income North America	192 702	124 729 to 260 675
Central Latin America	224 425	144 883 to 303 966
Southern Latin America	93 391	59 901 to 126 881
Australasia	18 286	11 638 to 24 933

*These analyses relate to all of the impact of *H. pylori* testing and eradication in the population described, and the DALYs gained is what would be achieved hypothetically over the lifetime of the population being screened at a given time point.

DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years; *H. pylori*, *Helicobacter pylori*.

0.85 to 1.12) (figure 3), with no heterogeneity between studies (I^2=0%, p=0.50).

Effect of *H. pylori* eradication therapy in individuals with gastric neoplasia undergoing endoscopic mucosal resection

When we pooled data from the three RCTs at the last point of follow-up in our primary analysis,[32–34] there were 41 (4.5%) future gastric cancers occurring in 910 patients randomised to eradication therapy, compared with 87 (9.3%) in 931 patients receiving placebo or no treatment. The RR of a future gastric cancer with eradication therapy versus placebo or no treatment was 0.49 (95% CI 0.34 to 0.70) (figure 1), with no heterogeneity between studies (I^2=0%, p=0.73). Again, with only three trials we could not assess for funnel plot asymmetry. The NNT, using data from the meta-analysis with an ACR of 9.3%, was 21 (95% CI 16 to 36). When we used a complete case analysis in these three trials in a sensitivity analysis, there were 41 (4.6%) gastric cancers among 886 patients assigned to eradication therapy, compared with 87 (9.8%) among 892 patients allocated to placebo or no treatment (RR=0.48; 95% CI 0.33 to 0.69), with no heterogeneity between studies (I^2=0%, p=0.72). The NNT was 20 (95% CI 15 to 33). Mortality data were only reported by two of these RCTs.[32 34] In the first trial, there was one death from extragastric disease in each treatment arm,[32] and in the second RCT there were 11 deaths (one from gastric cancer) in the eradication therapy arm, and six (one from gastric cancer) in the placebo arm (p=0.19).[34]

Adverse events

Adverse events data were incompletely reported by the majority of trials. One RCT conducted in healthy individuals reported these in a separate paper;[35] there was no statistically significant difference in adverse event rates, with the exception of skin rash which occurred in 3.1% of those receiving eradication therapy compared with 0.1% of those allocated placebo. Another trial in healthy individuals reported that drug-related adverse events were significantly more common with eradication therapy (53.0% vs 19.1%, p<0.001), but that the majority were mild.[29] The Colombian study reported that side effects were monitored closely, and none of any clinical importance were detected.[22] Among trials conducted in patients with gastric neoplasia, soft stools and diarrhoea were reported as occurring in 12% and 7%, respectively, of those receiving eradication therapy in one RCT,[32] and in the placebo-controlled trial from Korea, mild drug-related adverse events were significantly more common with eradication therapy (42.0% vs 10.2%, p<0.001).[34]

DISCUSSION

This updated systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrates that eradication of *H. pylori*, in both healthy individuals and patients with gastric neoplasia undergoing endoscopic mucosal resection, significantly reduces the future incidence of gastric cancer. The effect was strongest in those with gastric neoplasia, with a NNT of 21. However, among healthy individuals, due to increased follow-up in one of the existing trials, and a new trial conducted in 1826 people, the NNT to prevent one gastric cancer dropped considerably, from our estimate of 124 in the previous version of this meta-analysis,[14 15] to 72. In addition, in populations at higher risk of gastric cancer we estimate that *H. pylori* screening and treatment could result in 3.7 million DALYs gained in East Asia, where the majority of these trials were conducted. Finally, we observed a significant impact of eradication of *H. pylori* on gastric cancer-related mortality, with a NNT to prevent one death from gastric cancer of 135.

We updated our previous systematic review and meta-analysis using a contemporaneous and exhaustive search strategy. This allowed us to identify one existing trial with longer follow-up and one new trial recruiting over 1800 individuals, meaning that we were able to pool data from over 8000 subjects in our primary analysis conducted in healthy individuals. We also contacted authors of some included studies, in order to obtain data from the last point of follow-up, as well as to ensure we had not missed potentially eligible RCTs, or included data from the same study at two different points of follow-up. Finally, we used a random effects model and a modified intention-to-treat analysis, in order to minimise the possibility that the effect of eradication therapy on the future incidence of gastric cancer, and gastric cancer-related mortality, has been overestimated.

Study or Subgroup	Hp eradication Events	Total	Control Events	Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Year
Leung 2004-Zhou 2014	4	295	6	292	10.6%	0.66 [0.19, 2.31]	2004
Wong 2004	3	817	6	813	8.7%	0.50 [0.12, 1.98]	2004
Ma 2012-Li 2019	29	1130	47	1128	80.6%	0.62 [0.39, 0.97]	2019
Choi 2020	0	912	0	914		Not estimable	2020
Total (95% CI)		3154		3147	100.0%	0.61 [0.40, 0.92]	
Total events	36		59				

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.10, df = 2 (P = 0.95); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.38 (P = 0.02)

Favours Eradication   Favours treatment

Figure 2 Forest plot of randomised controlled trials of Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy: Effect on subsequent mortality from gastric cancer. Hp, *Helicobacter pylori*.

Figure 3 Forest plot of randomised controlled trials of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy: Effect on subsequent all-cause mortality. Hp, *Helicobacterpylori*.

Limitations of this study include the fact that only one of the trials was conducted outside East Asia,[22 23] meaning that it is not possible to assess the effect of eradicating *H. pylori* on gastric cancer incidence in other populations. In terms of the quality assessment of the RCTs we identified, only four were at low risk of bias.[25–29] All of these recruited healthy individuals, and when the analysis was restricted to only these trials eradication therapy still led to a significant reduction in the incidence of gastric cancer (RR=0.54; 95% CI 0.39 to 0.73). One of the trials, with 22.3 years of follow-up,[27 28] contributed the most weight to the analyses. Excluding this study from the analysis of the effect of eradication therapy on subsequent occurrence of gastric cancer did not change the statistical significance of our results, but the magnitude of the effect was reduced. If this trial were to be excluded from the analysis of the effect on mortality from gastric cancer, there would be no significant impact of eradication therapy on this endpoint. Due to the factorial design of some of the trials, it is difficult to determine whether the reduction in RR of subsequent gastric cancer was only due to eradication therapy, although when the analysis was limited to the four trials that used eradication therapy alone,[24 25 29–31] there was still a benefit (RR=0.48; 95% CI 0.28 to 0.80). The eradication regimens used varied considerably between the individual trials, and some, such as proton pump inhibitor dual therapy, would now be considered 'historical'. However, if anything, lower eradication rates with these older regimens is likely to have led to an underestimate of the effect of eradication therapy in preventing future gastric cancer. Finally, individual adverse events data were not reported by many of the RCTs we identified, meaning that balancing the benefits and harms of eradicating *H. pylori*, particularly in healthy individuals, might be difficult.

Nevertheless, our findings support screening for, and treatment of, *H. pylori* in both healthy individuals and patients with gastric neoplasia, as a means of reducing future incidence of gastric cancer. Once *H. pylori* has been eradicated successfully it is unlikely to be acquired again,[36] so unlike other cancer prevention programmes, it is likely that screening would only need to occur once during an individual's life. However, ideally, any cancer screening programme should also be cost-effective. The earliest economic model to examine this issue, which was based on screening and treating people at the age of 50 years, reported a cost-effectiveness of US$25 000 per year of life saved.[8] Numerous subsequent, and similar studies, modelling various populations and methods of screening, have all demonstrated cost-effectiveness using a cut-off of US$50 000 per life-year saved.[37–43] Although this is unlikely to be relevant in Western countries, where gastric cancer incidence is declining,[1] there may be other benefits from eradicating *H. pylori* in these populations, such as a reduction in the prevalence of peptic ulcer disease or the incidence of dyspepsia in the community,[13 44 45] and the costs associated with managing these conditions.[46–48] Furthermore, our data suggest there could be approximately 0.5 million DALYs gained in relatively affluent populations, such as Western Europe, so population screening and treatment may even be appropriate in some continents that are considered 'low risk'.

As the vast majority of *H. pylori* infection is acquired in childhood,[49] probably between the ages of 6 and 15,[50 51] the most logical point to perform screening would be either in adolescence or young adulthood. This may also give the greatest chance of interrupting progression of the precancerous cascade towards gastric cancer. Preliminary screening programmes in these types of populations are now under way in some regions of Japan,[52 53] although it will be many years until their effects are known. One concern of adopting such strategies may be that prescribing eradication therapy in younger people will promote antibiotic resistance or lead to substantial alterations of the microbiome,[54] although recent evidence suggests that the gastric microbial dysbiosis seen with *H. pylori* infection is reversed by eradication, and there also appear to be beneficial effects on the intestinal microbiota.[55] However, our data demonstrate that even among patients having endoscopic mucosal resection for gastric neoplasia, eradication therapy at this late stage can have an impact on gastric cancer incidence, suggesting that eradication of *H. pylori* at any age may still have a beneficial effect.

A previous systematic review and meta-analysis, which pooled data from both RCTs and observational studies, demonstrated a reduction in the future incidence of gastric cancer with eradication therapy, and also reported that the benefit varied according to baseline risk of gastric cancer.[56] Due to the identification of two new RCTs since the publication of this meta-analysis,[29 34] as well as longer follow-up in one of the previously identified trials,[27] our study has been able to provide a more contemporaneous estimate of the magnitude of the effect of *H. pylori* eradication therapy on future occurrence of gastric cancer, and has also been able to demonstrate a reduction in disease-specific mortality.

According to the latest estimates from the Global Burden of Disease Study for 2017, there were 1.2 million incident cases of gastric cancer, and 865 000 deaths worldwide.[2] Incidence rates increased by 25% between 2007 and 2017, due to changes in the population age structure and population expansion.[2] This suggests that the total number of deaths from gastric cancer may well continue to rise for the foreseeable future, partly due to an increase in the average age of the world's population.[57] Migration of people from high to low prevalence regions, due to a combination of economic and environmental reasons, is also likely to ensure it remains a major public health concern worldwide.[58]

Despite this, and recommendations from the IARC,[9] no country has adopted a national screening and treatment programme for *H. pylori*. However, even if population screening and treatment were to be adopted by high risk countries, there may be barriers to implementation of mass screening, such as prioritisation versus other public health challenges, lack of infrastructure to provide an efficient and organised service and low adherence to eradication therapy among infected individuals, due to the perceived risks versus the proposed benefits.

Our updated systematic review and meta-analysis provides moderate quality evidence that searching for and eradicating *H. pylori* can reduce the incidence of gastric cancer in healthy infected individuals, and in patients with gastric neoplasia. The NNT in these two groups were 72 and 21, respectively, but these should not be extrapolated to populations outside East Asia. Our confidence in the estimate has improved, the magnitude of the effect has increased and there is now a reduction in gastric cancer-related mortality, although not in all-cause mortality. Over 8 million DALYS would be gained globally if population screening and treatment for *H. pylori* were to be introduced. However, reporting of the potential harms of *H. pylori* eradication therapy in these situations is still limited. Despite this, due to the reduction in the NNT, it is likely that any benefit of *H. pylori* eradication therapy will outweigh the potential harms, especially in countries with a high risk of gastric cancer. Other RCTs are ongoing, and we will continue to update this work as more evidence becomes available in the future.

ORCID iD
Alexander Charles Ford http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6371-4359

REFERENCES

1 Arnold M, Park JY, Camargo MC, *et al*. Is gastric cancer becoming a rare disease? a global assessment of predicted incidence trends to 2035. *Gut* 2020. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-320234

2 Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Abate D, *et al*. Global, regional, and National cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *JAMA Oncol* 2019;5:1749–68.

3 Pounder RE, Ng D. The prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in different countries. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1995;9:33–9.

4 Marshall BJ, Armstrong JA, McGechie DB, *et al*. Attempt to fulfil Koch's postulates for pyloric Campylobacter. *Med J Aust* 1985;142:436–9.

5 Yan C, Zhu M, Ding Y, *et al*. Meta-Analysis of genome-wide association studies and functional assays decipher susceptibility genes for gastric cancer in Chinese populations. *Gut* 2020;69:641–51.

6 Correa P. The gastric precancerous process. *Cancer Surv* 1983;2:437–50.

7 International Agency for Research on Cancer. Schistosomes, liver flukes and Helicobacter pylori. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum* 1994;61:177–241.

8 Parsonnet J, Harris RA, Hack HM, *et al*. Modelling cost-effectiveness of *Helicobacter pylori* screening to prevent gastric cancer: a mandate for clinical trials. *Lancet* 1996;348:150–4.

9 IARC *Helicobacter pylori* Working Group. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication as a strategy for preventing gastric cancer. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC Working Group Reports, No. 8), 2014. Available: http://wwwiarcfr/en/publications/pdfs-online/wrk/wrk8/indexphp

10 GBD 2017 Stomach Cancer Collaborators. The global, regional, and national burden of stomach cancer in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;5:42–54.

11 Product updates from Japan, 2013. Available: http://wwwpmlivecom/pharma_news/product_updates_from_japan_464695

12 Pan K-feng, Zhang L, Gerhard M, *et al*. A large randomised controlled intervention trial to prevent gastric cancer by eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in Linqu County, China: baseline results and factors affecting the eradication. *Gut* 2016;65:9–18.

13 Lee Y-C, Chen TH-H, Chiu H-M, *et al*. The benefit of mass eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a community-based study of gastric cancer prevention. *Gut* 2013;62:676–82.

14 Ford AC, Forman D, Hunt RH, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy to prevent gastric cancer in healthy asymptomatic infected individuals: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2014;348:g3174.

15 Ford AC, Forman D, Hunt R, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication for the prevention of gastric neoplasia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:Cd005583.

16 Akl EA, Johnston BC, Alonso-Coello P, *et al*. Addressing dichotomous data for participants excluded from trial analysis: a guide for systematic reviewers. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e57132.

17 Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions: Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011], 2011. Available: http://handbook-5-1cochraneorg/

18 DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-Analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986;7:177–88.

19 Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, *et al*. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;327:557–60.

20 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, *et al*. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629–34.

21 Sterne JAC, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JPA, *et al*. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2011;343:d4002.

22 Correa P, Fontham ET, Bravo JC, *et al*. Chemoprevention of gastric dysplasia: randomized trial of antioxidant supplements and anti-*Helicobacter pylori* therapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92:1881–8.

23 Gail MH, Brown LM, You W-c, *et al*. Re: chemoprevention of gastric dysplasia: randomized trial of antioxidant supplements and anti-*Helicobacter pylori* therapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001;93:559–60.

24 Saito D, Boku N, Fujioka T, *et al*. Impact of *H. pylori* eradication on gastric cancer prevention: Endoscopic results of the Japanese intervention trial (JITHP-Study): A randomized multi-center trial. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:A4.

25 Wong BC-Y, Lam SK, Wong WM, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication to prevent gastric cancer in a high-risk region of China: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2004;291:187–94.

26 Wong BCY, Zhang L, Ma J-ling, *et al*. Effects of selective COX-2 inhibitor and *Helicobacter pylori* eradication on precancerous gastric lesions. *Gut* 2012;61:812–8.

27 Li W-Q, Zhang J-Y, Ma J-L, *et al*. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* treatment and vitamin and garlic supplementation on gastric cancer incidence and mortality: follow-up of a randomized intervention trial. *BMJ* 2019;366:l5016.

28 Ma J-L, Zhang L, Brown LM, *et al*. Fifteen-year effects of *Helicobacter pylori*, garlic, and vitamin treatments on gastric cancer incidence and mortality. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2012;104:488–92.

29 Choi IJ, Kim CG, Lee JY, *et al*. Family History of Gastric Cancer and *Helicobacter pylori* Treatment. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:427–36.

30 Zhou L, Lin S, Ding S, *et al*. Relationship of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication with gastric cancer and gastric mucosal histological changes: a 10-year follow-up study. *Chin Med J* 2014;127:1454–8.

31 Leung WK, Lin S-R, Ching JYL, *et al*. Factors predicting progression of gastric intestinal metaplasia: results of a randomised trial on *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Gut* 2004;53:1244–9.

32 Fukase K, Kato M, Kikuchi S, *et al*. Effect of eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* on incidence of metachronous gastric carcinoma after endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008;372:392–7.

33 Choi JM, Kim SG, Choi J, *et al*. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication for metachronous gastric cancer prevention: a randomized controlled trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2018;88:475–85.

34 Choi IJ, Kook M-C, Kim Y-I, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* therapy for the prevention of metachronous gastric cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:1085–95.

35 Gail MH, You WC, Chang YS, *et al*. Factorial trial of three interventions to reduce the progression of precancerous gastric lesions in Shandong, China: design issues and initial data. *Control Clin Trials* 1998;19:352–69.

36 Yan T-L, Hu Q-D, Zhang Q, *et al*. National rates of *Helicobacter pylori* recurrence are significantly and inversely correlated with human development index. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2013;37:963–8.

37 Fendrick AM, Chernew ME, Hirth RA, *et al*. Clinical and economic effects of population-based *Helicobacter pylori* screening to prevent gastric cancer. *Arch Intern Med* 1999;159:142–8.

38 Mason J, Axon ATR, Forman D, *et al*. The cost-effectiveness of population *Helicobacter pylori* screening and treatment: a Markov model using economic data from a randomized controlled trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2002;16:559–68.

39 Lee Y-C, Lin J-T, Wu H-M, *et al*. Cost-Effectiveness analysis between primary and secondary preventive strategies for gastric cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:875–85.

40 Yeh JM, Kuntz KM, Ezzati M, *et al*. Exploring the cost-effectiveness of *Helicobacter pylori* screening to prevent gastric cancer in China in anticipation of clinical trial results. *Int J Cancer* 2009;124:157–66.

41 Roderick P, Davies R, Raftery J, *et al*. The cost-effectiveness of screening for *Helicobacter pylori* to reduce mortality and morbidity from gastric cancer and peptic ulcer disease: a discrete-event simulation model. *Health Technol Assess* 2003;7:1–86.

42 Xie F, Luo N, Blackhouse G, *et al*. Cost-effectiveness analysis of *Helicobacter pylori* screening in prevention of gastric cancer in Chinese. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2008;24:87–95.

43 Xie F, O'Reilly D, Ferrusi IL, *et al*. Illustrating economic evaluation of diagnostic technologies: comparing *Helicobacter pylori* screening strategies in prevention of gastric cancer in Canada. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2009;6:317–23.

44 Moayyedi P, Feltbower R, Brown J, *et al*. Effect of population screening and treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* on dyspepsia and quality of life in the community: a randomised controlled trial. Leeds help Study Group. *Lancet* 2000;355:1665–9.

45 Harvey RF, Spence RW, Lane JA, *et al*. Relationship between the birth cohort pattern of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the epidemiology of duodenal ulcer. *QJM* 2002;95:519–25.

46 Ford AC, Forman D, Bailey AG, *et al*. A community screening program for *Helicobacter pylori* saves money: 10-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Gastroenterology* 2005;129:1910–7.

47 Harvey RF, Lane JA, Nair P, *et al*. Clinical trial: prolonged beneficial effect of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication on dyspepsia consultations - the Bristol *Helicobacter* Project. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;32:394–400.

48 Ford AC, Delaney BC, Forman D, *et al*. Eradication therapy in *Helicobacter pylori* positive peptic ulcer disease: systematic review and economic analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1833–55.

49 Kuipers EJ, Peña AS, van Kamp G, *et al*. Seroconversion for *Helicobacter pylori*. *Lancet* 1993;342:328–31.

50 Banatvala N, Mayo K, Megraud F, *et al*. The cohort effect and *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Infect Dis* 1993;168:219–21.

51 Nabwera HM, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS, Logan RF, *et al*. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in Kenyan schoolchildren aged 3-15 years and risk factors for infection. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000;12:483–7.

52 Kakiuchi T, Matsuo M, Endo H, *et al*. A *Helicobacter pylori* screening and treatment program to eliminate gastric cancer among junior high school students in SAGA Prefecture: a preliminary report. *J Gastroenterol* 2019;54:699–707.

53 Kusano C, Gotoda T, Suzuki S, *et al*. Safety of first-line triple therapy with a potassium-competitive acid blocker for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in children. *J Gastroenterol* 2018;53:718–24.

54 Yallapragada SG, Nash CB, Robinson DT. Early-Life exposure to antibiotics, alterations in the intestinal microbiome, and risk of metabolic disease in children and adults. *Pediatr Ann* 2015;44:e265–9.

55 Guo Y, Zhang Y, Gerhard M, *et al*. Effect of *Helicobacter pylori* on gastrointestinal microbiota: a population-based study in Linqu, a high-risk area of gastric cancer. *Gut* 2019. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319696

56 Lee Y-C, Chiang T-H, Chou C-K, *et al*. Association between *Helicobacter pylori* eradication and gastric cancer incidence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1113–24.

57 Yeh JM, Goldie SJ, Kuntz KM, *et al*. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and smoking on gastric cancer incidence in China: a population-level analysis of trends and projections. *Cancer Causes Control* 2009;20:2021–9.

58 Forman D. *Helicobacter pylori*: the gastric cancer problem. *Gut* 1998;43:S33–4.